## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON,

3:13-CV-01968-HU

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

RON E. MEDLEY,

Defendant.

## BROWN, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Dennis James Hubel issued Findings and Recommendation (#7) on December 9, 2013, in which he recommends the Court sua sponte remand this matter to Clackamas County Justice Court for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. Defendant filed timely Objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

1 - ORDER

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003)(en banc).

This Court has carefully considered Defendant's Objections and concludes they do not provide a basis to modify the Findings and Recommendation. The Court also has reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and does not find any error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Hubel's Findings and Recommendation (#7) and, therefore, REMANDS this matter to Clackamas County Justice Court for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2014.

/s/ Anna J. Brown

ANINA T DDOWN

ANNA J. BROWN United States District Judge